Refinement on $F^2$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.54  {\rm e}  {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.61 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.101$	Extinction correction:
S = 0.744	SHELXL97
6942 reflections	Extinction coefficient:
524 parameters	0.0060 (5)
H atoms treated by a	Scattering factors from
mixture of independent	International Tables for
and constrained refinement	Crystallography (Vol. C)
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0543P)^2]$	Absolute structure:
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	Flack (1983)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$	Flack parameter =
	-0.023 (13)

### Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cul-Ol	1.918 (3)	Cu2—O3	1.941 (3)
Cu1—N3	2.004 (4)	Cu2—N5	1.992 (4)
Cu1—N2	2.020 (4)	Cu2—N6	1.997 (4)
Cul—N1	2.033 (4)	Cu2—N4	2.031 (4)
Cu1O1W	2.234 (4)	Cu2—O2W	2.382 (3)
C16—N3—C13—C14	8.5 (7)	C33N6C30C31	38.0 (5)
N3-C13-C14-C15	-29.2 (8)	N6-C30-C31-C32	-40.2 (5)
C13-C14-C15-C16	38.3 (9)	C30-C31-C32-C33	27.0 (5)
C13—N3—C16—C15	15.3 (5)	C30-N6-C33-C32	-20.6 (5)
C14-C15-C16-N3	-32.8 (7)	C31-C32-C33-N6	-4.2 (5)

The absolute structure was determined from the known absolute configuration of one of the ligands (L-proline) in the complex. The Flack (1983) parameter was refined without Friedel data. In the development of the molecular model from X-ray data, H atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and included in the model at calculated positions and allowed to refine using a riding model, except for the water H atoms (on O4W), which could not be found.  $U_{\rm iso}$  values for H atoms were assigned values 120% of the  $U_{\rm eq}$  values of the attached non-H atoms or 150% in the case of water H atoms.

Data collection: P3 Software (Siemens, 1989). Cell refinement: P3 Software. Data reduction: XDISK in P3 Software. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997). Molecular graphics: XP in P3 Software. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

We thank the Office of Naval Research for support of instrumentation (EJV and JDZ) and the National Science Foundation (DUE-9250769).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FR1195). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

### References

- Antolini, L., Battaglia, L. P., Corradi, A. B., Marcotrigiano, G., Menabue, L., Pellacani, G. C., Saladini, M. & Sola, M. (1986). *Inorg. Chem.* 25, 2901–2904.
- Antolini, L., Marcotrigiano, G., Menabue, L. & Pellacani, G. C. (1983). Inorg. Chem. 22, 141-145.
- Antolini, L., Marcotrigiano, G., Menabue, L., Pellacani, G. C., Saladini, M. & Sola, M. (1985). Inorg. Chem. 24, 3621-3626.
- Balasubramanian, R., Lakshminarayanan, A. V., Sebesan, M. N., Tegoni, G., Venkatesan, K. & Ramachandran, G. N. (1971). Int. J. Protein Res. 3, 25–33.

Baran, E. J. (1995). In *Quimica Bioinorganica*. Madrid: McGraw-Hill/Interamericana de Espana.
Fischer, B. E. & Sigel, H. (1980). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 2998-3008.
Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
Garcia-Raso, A., Fiol, J. J., Adrover, B., Moreno, V., Molins, E. &

- Garcia-Raso, A., Fioi, J. J., Adrover, B., Moreno, V., Molins, E. & Mata, I. (1998). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1031–1036. Griesser, R. & Sigel, H. (1970). Inorg. Chem. 9, 1238–1243.
- IUPAC-IUB Commission on Biochemical Nomenclature (1970). J. Mol. Biol. 52, 1–17.
- Kwik, W. L., Ang, K. P. & Chen, G. (1980). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 42, 303–313.
- Sabat, M. (1996). Metal Ions in Biological Systems, Vol. 32, edited by A. Sigel & H. Sigel. New York and Basel: Marcel Dekker.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXS93. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1989). P3 Software. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Szalda, D. J. & Kistenmacher, T. J. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 865-869.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1243-1246

# [Cu<sub>2</sub>{1,4-bis[(3-methyl-2-pyridyl)amino]phthalazine–H}(N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] at 40 K

ANDRES E. GOETA,<sup>*a*</sup> LAURENCE K. THOMPSON,<sup>*b*</sup> CHRISTOPHER L. SHEPPARD,<sup>*b*</sup> SANTOKH S. TANDON,<sup>*b*</sup> CHRISTIAN W. LEHMANN,<sup>*a*</sup>† JOHN COSIER,<sup>*c*</sup> CRAIG WEBSTER<sup>*c*</sup> AND JUDITH A. K. HOWARD<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Durham University, Durham DH1 3LE, England, <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St Johns, Newfoundland, Canada A1B 3X7, and <sup>c</sup>Oxford Cryosystems, 3 Blenheim Office Park, Lower Road, Long Hanborough, Oxford OX8 8LN, England. E-mail: a.e.goeta@durham.ac.uk

(Received 21 December 1998; accepted 21 April 1999)

### Abstract

The structure of the title compound,  $\mu$ -azido-1: $2\kappa^2 N^1$ -[ $\mu$ -4-(3-methyl-2-pyridyl- $2\kappa N$ -amino)-1-(3-methyl-2-pyridyl- $1\kappa N$ -imino)-1,2-dihydrophthalazin-2-yl-1: $2\kappa^2 N^2$ : $N^3$ ]-bis[(azido- $\kappa N^1$ )copper(II)], [Cu<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>6</sub>)(N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], (I), determined from data recorded at 40 (1) K using a new open-flow He gas cryostat, is presented here as part of an extended study program on dicopper(II) azide-bridged compounds. Each pair of Cu<sup>II</sup> centres in (I) is equatorially bridged by a  $\mu_2$ -1,1-azide, with a bridge angle of 107.2 (1)°. The dinuclear centres form tetranuclear clusters through two  $\mu_2$ -1,3-azide bridges, which in turn form chains along the *a* axis. Two C—

<sup>†</sup> Current Address: Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, Kaiser-Wilhelm-Platz 1, 45470-Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany.

 $H \cdots N$  intermolecular interactions interlink these chains along the [110] and [110] directions.

## Comment

The measurement of X-ray diffraction data at temperatures lower than 80 K has up to now required the use of closed-cycle helium refrigerators. Although this has become a well established technique for temperatures down to 10 K (Larsen, 1995; Copley et al., 1997, and references therein), it has its drawbacks, i.e. the equipment is expensive, it is not obtainable as an 'off-the-shelf' product and it needs considerably more maintenance than an N<sub>2</sub> open-flow device. Moreover, the sample is not visible during data collection, being mounted inside one, two or three evacuated beryllium heat shrouds. A natural extension of these two approaches would be a combination of them, *i.e.* an openflow He gas cryostat. The two main limitations with this kind of cooling device are the cost of He gas and the prevention of frosting. The use of CCD area detectors, which reduces data collection times dramatically, brings the cost of the He gas used into the range of other consumable items. The problems associated with frosting have been addressed in the careful design of a new temperature-controlled cryostat (Cosier, 1998),

Herein, as the first example of the capabilities of the new device, we describe the 40 K structure of  $[Cu_2\{1, 4-bis(3-methyl-2-pyridyl)aminophthal$ azine -H{(N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], (I), determined from single-crystal X-ray data recorded using this controllable Oxford Cryosystems open-flow He gas cryostat combined with a diffractometer equipped with a Bruker SMART CCD area detector. The cryostat comprises a small closedcycle helium refrigerator which is used to cool down a heating stage. He gas flowing around this stage is cooled down and finally escapes through a specially designed nozzle into the atmosphere and onto the sample. A temperature calibration using the known phase transition of terbium vanadate (Will et al., 1972) indicates that the minimum temperature currently attainable is 30(1) K. The total data collection time for the present experiment was 8 h and the amount of He gas used was about 161 at 200 bar (1 bar =  $10^5$  Pa).



The structure of (I) was determined as part of an extended study program on dicopper azide-bridged

compounds (Thompson & Tandon, 1996; Tandon et al., 1994, 1995; Thompson et al., 1995).

The molecular structure of (I) (Fig. 1) shows that each pair of five-coordinate copper(II) centres is bridged equatorially by an intramolecular  $\mu_2$ -1,1-azide, with a bridge angle of  $107.2(1)^{\circ}$ . These dinuclear centres form tetranuclear clusters through two  $\mu_2$ -1,3-azide bridges [Cu1-N7-N8-N9...Cu2<sup>i</sup> and Cu1<sup>i</sup>-N7<sup>i</sup>-N8<sup>i</sup>-N9<sup>i</sup> Cu2; symmetry code: (i) -x, 2-y, -z] via axial interactions [Cu2···N9<sup>i</sup> 2.349 (2) Å]. The fivecoordination of Cu1 is completed by a Cu1...N12<sup>ii</sup> axial interaction of 2.586(2) Å [symmetry code: (ii) 1-x, 2-y, -z], which involves the same azide that forms the  $\mu_2$ -1,1-azide bridge between Cu1 and Cu2, and forms chains of tetranuclear clusters along the *a* axis (Fig. 2). These chains are interlinked along the [110] and [110] directions by two C-H···N intermolecular interactions (Table 2). The ligand is slightly folded, with dihedral angles between the phthalazine mean plane and the pyridine mean planes of 33.6(1) and  $26.4(1)^{\circ}$ . The basal N<sub>4</sub> sets are almost planar, with N-Cu-N angles ranging from 84.7(1) to  $95.2(1)^\circ$ , but while Cu1 lies close to its surrounding  $N_4$  mean plane [Cu1···N<sub>4</sub> 0.015 (1) Å], Cu2 is slightly displaced from its plane, by 0.157(1) Å towards the axial azide N9<sup>i</sup> atom.



Fig. 1. *SHELXTL* (Siemens, 1995) drawing of (I) showing 70% probability displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms. H atoms bound to carbon have been omitted for clarity.



Fig. 2. View down the *a* axis showing the chains of tetranuclear clusters along the *a* axis joined by hydrogen bonds along [011] and  $[0\bar{1}1]$ . Atoms not involved have been omitted for clarity.

It would be expected that complex (I) should exhibit magnetic properties which could be modelled adequately by the Bleaney-Bowers equation (Bleaney & Bowers, 1952) for the magnetic susceptibility of a copper(II) binuclear centre. However, attempts to fit the experimental variable-temperature magnetic data to this equation using normal values of the parameters proved impossible (Thompson, 1998). Since the magnetic coupling in a  $\mu_2$ -1,1-azide-bridged system has been shown to be dependent on the azide-bridge angle (Thompson & Tandon, 1996), one possible explanation for the anomalous behaviour is that the azide-bridge angle changes over the temperature range in which the magnetic data were collected. To investigate whether a structural change is responsible for this unusual magnetic behaviour of (I), X-ray diffraction data should be collected at several temperatures in order to map these structural changes. Variable-temperature data collections can take weeks using a standard four-circle diffractometer fitted with a Displex refrigerator or they are limited to temperatures above 80 K using an N<sub>2</sub> cooler. The new helium device and a CCD diffractometer make a very powerful combination and allow rapid data collections in the critical temperature range for these magnetic complexes. The unit-cell volume of (I) shows only a 1.8% reduction between room temperature and 40 K, which would indicate very small or even no change between the structures at these temperatures. The results of the complete

variable-temperature magneto-structural study are being prepared for publication.

### Experimental

Cu(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (0.300 g, 1.50 mmol) was dissolved in hot methanol (20 ml) and added to a solution of 1,4-bis-[(3-methyl-2-pyridyl)amino]phthalazine (0.100 g, 0.3 mmol) in hot dichloromethane (25 ml) and the mixture refluxed for 5 min. A solution of NaN<sub>3</sub> (0.050 g, 0.77 mmol) dissolved in hot methanol (10 ml) was added dropwise with stirring and the resulting dark-khaki-green solution heated for a further 2 min and filtered hot. Dark-red crystals, suitable for structural studies, formed on standing overnight. The crystals were filtered off, washed with a dichloromethane/methanol mixture and air dried (yield 70%).

#### Crystal data

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
Cell parameters from 362
reflections
$\theta = 13.62 - 23.63^{\circ}$
$\mu = 1.944 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 40(2)  K
Irregular prism
$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm
Dark red

## $[Cu_2(C_{20}H_{17}N_6)(N_3)_3]$

10 681 measured reflections

3983 independent reflections

3363 reflections with

Intensity decay: none

 $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $k = -18 \rightarrow 17$ 

 $l = -20 \rightarrow 17$ 

 $R_{int} = 0.037$   $\theta_{max} = 26.10^{\circ}$  $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ 

### Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD
diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction:
multi-scan using SADABS
(Sheldrick, 1996;
Blessing, 1995)
$T_{\rm min} = 0.583, T_{\rm max} = 0.678$

### Refinement

5	
Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0251P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$	+ 1.5415P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.069$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.056	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$
3980 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.40 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-3}$
339 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.46 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
H atoms treated by a	Extinction correction: none
mixture of independent	Scattering factors from
and constrained refinement	International Tables for
	Crystallography (Vol. C)

### Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu2-N13	1.968 (2)	Cu1—N12 <sup>ü</sup>	2.586 (2)
Cu2-N10	1.972 (2)	N3N4	1.392 (3)
Cu2-N6	1.976 (2)	N7—N8	1.197 (3)
Cu2—N4	2.027 (2)	N8—N9	1.173 (3)
Cu2N9 <sup>i</sup>	2.349 (2)	N9—Cu2 <sup>i</sup>	2.349 (2)
Cu1—N3	1.966 (2)	N10N11	1.219 (3)
Cu1—N1	1.983 (2)	N11-N12	1.154 (3)
Cu1—N7	1.991 (2)	N13—N14	1.215 (3)
Cu1-N10	1.997 (2)	N14N15	1.162 (3)
N13-Cu2N10	89.76 (9)	N3—Cu1—N12 <sup>ii</sup>	96.17 (8)
N13-Cu2-N6	95.20 (9)	N1—Cu1—N12 <sup>ii</sup>	96.63 (8)
N10-Cu2-N6	173.27 (9)	N7—Cu1—N12 <sup>ii</sup>	84.44 (8)
N13-Cu2-N4	164.90 (9)	N10—Cu1—N12 <sup>ii</sup>	81.29 (9)
N10-Cu2-N4	84.74 (8)	N4-N3-Cu1	117.51 (14)
N6—Cu2—N4	89.33 (8)	N3—N4—Cu2	116.17 (14)
N13-Cu2-N9 <sup>i</sup>	105.15 (9)	N8N7Cu1	123.1 (2)
N10-Cu2N9 <sup>i</sup>	85.52 (9)	N9—N8—N7	176.5 (3)
N6-Cu2-N9 <sup>i</sup>	97.53 (9)	N8—N9—Cu2 <sup>i</sup>	129.4 (2)
N4—Cu2—N9 <sup>i</sup>	88.47 (8)	N11-N10-Cu2	124.2 (2)
N3-Cu1-N1	88.44 (8)	N11—N10—Cu1	126.7 (2)
N3-Cu1-N7	176.88 (9)	Cu2N10Cu1	107.23 (10)
N1-Cu1-N7	94.53 (9)	N12—N11—N10	179.2 (3)
N3-Cu1-N10	85.31 (9)	N14N13Cu2	123.2 (2)
N1-Cu1-N10	173.15 (9)	N15-N14-N13	176.8 (3)
N7-Cu1-N10	91.77 (9)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, 2 - y, -z; (ii) 1 - x, 2 - y, -z.

## Table 2. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D—H	$\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D = \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
C11—H111· · ·N12 <sup>i</sup>	0.95	2.395	3.266 (4)	152.3
C30-H302···N9 <sup>i</sup>	0.98	2.541	3.441 (4)	152.7
Symmetry code: (i)	$x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} +$	Ζ.		

H atoms were allowed to ride on their parent C atoms with  $U_{iso}(H) = xU_{eq}(C)$ , with x = 1.5 for methyl H atoms and x = 1.2 for the other H atoms. Idealized C—H distances were fixed at 0.95 Å, while idealized tertiary C—H distances were fixed at 0.98 Å. The idealized CH<sub>3</sub> groups were allowed to rotate about their X—C bond. The only H atom bonded to an N atom was

located from a difference Fourier map, its coordinates were refined and its  $U_{iso}$  value kept at  $1.2U_{eq}(N)$ .

Data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1996a). Cell refinement: SMART. Data reduction: SAINT (Siemens, 1996b). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Siemens, 1995). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL. Molecular graphics: SHELXTL.

EPSRC and NATO are gratefully acknowledged for financial support. AEG thanks EPSRC for a Postdoctoral Fellowship and JAKH thanks the University of Durham for a Sir Derman Christopherson Fellowship.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BM1313). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

### References

- Bleaney, B. & Bowers, K. D. (1952). Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A, 214, 451-465.
- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Copley, R. C. B., Goeta, A. E., Lehmann, C. W., Cole, J. C., Yufit, D. S., Howard, J. A. K. & Archer, J. M. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 413–417.
- Cosier, J. (1998). Private communication.
- Larsen, F. K. (1995). Acta Cryst. B51, 468-482.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. Empirical Absorption Correction Program. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1995). SHELXTL. Version 5.03/VMS. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1996a). SMART. Data Collection Software. Version 4.05. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1996b). SAINT. Data Reduction Software. Version 4.05. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Tandon, S. S., Thompson, L. K., Manuel, M. E. & Bridson, J. N. (1994). Inorg. Chem. 33, 5555–5570.
- Tandon, S. S., Thompson, L. K. & Miller, D. O. (1995). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1907–1908.
- Thompson, L. K. (1998). Private communication.
- Thompson, L. K. & Tandon, S. S. (1996). Comments Inorg. Chem. 18, 125-144.
- Thompson, L. K., Tandon, S. S. & Manuel, M. E. (1995). Inorg. Chem. 34, 2356–2366.
- Will, G., Göbel, H., Sampson, C. F. & Forsyth, J. B. (1972). Phys. Lett. A, 38, 207–208.